United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

April 21, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 03-41286 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

GERARDO MENDOZA-AGUILAR, also known as Gerardo Aguilar-Guzman,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. M-03-CR-391-1

Before JOLLY, JONES, and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Gerardo Mendoza-Aguilar ("Mendoza") appeals his guilty-plea conviction for illegal re-entry following deportation. Mendoza argues that 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b) is unconstitutional in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). Mendoza argues that, after Apprendi, it is apparent that a majority of the Supreme Court now feels that Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), was incorrectly decided. He acknowledges

 $^{^{\}ast}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 03-41286

that his argument is foreclosed by circuit precedent, but he raises this issue to preserve it for possible review by the Supreme Court. Apprendi did not overrule Almendarez-Torres. See Apprendi, 530 U.S. at 489-90; see also United States v. Dabeit, 231 F.3d 979, 984 (5th Cir. 2000). Therefore, Mendoza's argument is foreclosed.

AFFIRMED.